#### STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

## STATE OF ARIZONA

# JUN 1 2 1997

## DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT.	OF	INS	URAN	CE
DEPT. BY	_K	ate	~	

In the Matter of:	)	Docket No. 97A-058-INS
EDWIN STEWART MAST,	)	ORDER
Petitioner.	) _)	

On June 5, 1997, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal, submitted "Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge" ("Recommended Decision"), a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Decision and enters the following order:

- 1. The recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law are adopted.
- All insurance licenses issued by the Arizona Department of Insurance to Edwin Stewart Mast are revoked.

#### NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa

County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. A party filing an appeal must notify the Office of

Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing the complaint commencing the appeal, 1 2 pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1092.10. DATED this day of June, 1997 3 4 John A. Greene
Director of Insurance 5 6 7 A copy of the foregoing mailed this 12 day of June, 1997 8 Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director 9 Scott Greenberg, Business Administrator John Gagne, Assistant Director 10 Bonnie Carrillo, Investigator Maureen Catalioto, Supervisor 11 Department of Insurance 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 12 Phoenix, AZ 85018 13 Shelby Cuevas Assistant Attorney General 14 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 15 Office of Administrative Hearings 16 1700 W. Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, AZ 85007 17 **Edwin Stewart Mast** 18 2706 N. Dakota Street Chandler, AZ 85224 19 20 **Edwin Stewart Mast** Green Acres Mortuaries & Cemetery 21 401 N. Hayden Road Scottsdale, AZ 85257 22

Forethought Life Insurance Company Forethought Center Batesville, IN 47006

Kathy Lindu

# IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

n the Matter of:

EDWIN STEWART MAST,

Respondent.

97A-058-INS

RECOMMENDED DECISION
OF ADMINISTRATIVE
LAW JUDGE

HEARING: May 29, 1997

APPEARANCES: Assistant Attorney General Gerrie Marks on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance and Edwin Stewart Mast on his own behalf.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

Based on the entire record of this matter, the following recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order are made:

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. Respondent Edwin Stewart Mast ("Mr. Mast") is currently licensed by the Arizona Department of Insurance (the "Department") as a life and disability insurance agent. That license (License No.22085) expires October 31, 1997.
- 2. On January 21, 1993, a civil complaint was filed against Mr. Mast in <a href="The Valley National Bank of Arizona vs. Edwin S. Mast and Jane Doe Mast, husband and wife, dba Mast Enterprises,">Maricopa County Superior Court, Case No. CV93-00941</a> ("Case No. CV93-00941"), alleging breach of contract, fraud and racketeering.
- 3. On January 31, 1995, Judgment was entered in Case No. CV93-00941. The Court found that Mr. Mast had committed breach of contract and fraud against the Valley National Bank (the "Bank") and awarded the Bank the sum of \$31,550.28 plus interest at the rate of 10% until paid in full by the Defendants Edwin Mast and Jane Doe Mast dba Mast Enterprises.
- 4. The Judgment in Case No. CV93-00941 was appealed to the Court of Appeals, State of Arizona, Division Two, in Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120. ("Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120").
- 5. In Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Superior Court's findings and Judgment in Case No. CV93-00941 and issued a Memorandum Decision and Order. In its decision, the Court addressed the following issues: a) improper denial of jury trial; b) fraud claim should have been dismissed as time barred:

Office of Administrative Hearings 1700 West Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

4

1

2

3

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24 25

26

27

28 29

c) certain evidence should have been precluded for violation of disclosure rules; d) the evidence is insufficient to support the Judgment; and e) form of judgment was not furnished to the Court in a timely manner. The Court determined that none of the above -mentioned grounds for appeal were established.

- 6. It is uncontroverted that the Order and Memorandum Decision issued in Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120 was not appealed and is a final determination of the Judgment rendered in Case No.CV93-00941.
- 7. At the hearing, Mr. Mast attempted to collaterally attack the Judgment in Case No. CV93-00941 and was informed by the Administrative Law Judge that this tribunal was the inappropriate forum in which to relitigate the above-mentioned determinations of the Maricopa County Superior Court and Court of Appeals.
- 8. Elaine Obanion, a sales manager with Green Acres Memorial Gardens and Mortuary ("Green Acres"), Mr. Mast's employer, testified that she has known Mr. Mast for six years and that he is the most honest man she has dealt with. Ms. Obanion is Mr. Mast's supervisor at Green Acres and was made aware of the above-mentioned civil litigation and Judgment obtained against Mr. Mast which did not change her opinion of Mr. Mast's character. According to Ms. Obanion, Mr. Mast is very involved in church activities and with his family and is committed to both.
- 9. Ms. Obanion was a sincere and credible witness and it is determined that her testimony as set forth above is credible.
- 10. Although Mr. Mast presented several letters of character, none of the individuals who authored those letters excepting Ms. Obanion, were present at the hearing and available for cross-examination by Department's counsel nor could the Administrative Law Judge observe their demeanor. Therefore, the letters of character admitted into evidence were not given substantial weight.
- 11. Mr. Mast contended at the hearing that he did not commit fraud against the Bank and provided an explanation as to the background of the events that gave rise to the above-mentioned civil litigation. However, Mr. Mast did not present any evidence to refute the Judgment entered in CV93-00941 or Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120.
- 12. Mr. Mast submitted excerpts of the sworn testimony of Kenneth L. Defoor in Case NO. CV93-00941 (Exhibit D), who previously worked with Mr. Mast in Mast Enterprises during the relevant times, in support of Mr. Mast's good character. Although Exhibit D is the sworn testimony of Mr. Defoor, the testimony presented is only a portion of the testimony Mr. Defoor gave at the trial in Case No. CV93-00941and Mr. Defoor was not present at the hearing so as to be subject to cross-examination or observation by the Administrative Law Judge. As such, Mr. Defoor's sworn testimony as set forth in Exhibit D was not given substantial weight.
- 13. Mr. Mast credibly testified that he has been a minister for the past 30 years though he was not active as a pastor at the relevant times and that he is honest and would not intentionally deceive anyone. Mr. Mast has been licensed in the State of Arizona as an insurance agent and , to his knowledge, has not had any other complaints against him in that capacity.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Judgment entered in Case No. 93-000941 and Order and Memorandum Decision entered in Case No. 2 CA-CV 96-0120 constitutes a record of dishonesty in business or financial matters within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-290(B)(2).
- 2. Mr. Mast's conduct as set forth above in the Findings of Fact constitutes cause for which original issuance or any renewal of the License could have been refused pursuant to A.R.S.§20-316(A)(1).
- 3. Mr. Mast's conduct as set forth above in the Findings of Fact does not constitute a wilful violation of, or wilful noncompliance with, any provision of A.R.S., Title 20 or any lawful rule, regulation or order of the Director of the Department (the "Director").
- 4. Mr. Mast's conduct as set forth above in the Findings of Fact are sufficient grounds for the Director to suspend, revoke or refuse to renew the License and impose a civil penalty pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-316(A) and 20-316(C).

# RECOMMENDED ORDER

Based on the above, the Administrative Law Judge recommends that the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance revoke all insurance licenses issued by the Arizona Department of Insurance to Edwin Stewart Mast.

Done this day, June 5, 1997.

Lewis D. Kowol

Administrative Law Judge

Original transmitted by mail this <u>5</u> day of <u>June</u>, 1997, to:

By Chris Craw ford Thomeson

Mr. John A. Greene, Director Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, #210 Phoenix, AZ 85018-7256

ATTN: Curvey Burton

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30